



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,218	09/19/2001	Jin-Oh Kwag	6192.0268.AA	8281

7590 04/22/2003

McGuireWoods LLP
1750 Tysons Blvd
Suite 1800
McLean, VA 22102

EXAMINER

KENNEDY, JENNIFER M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2812

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	KWAG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/955,218	KWAG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jennifer M. Kennedy. (3)_____.
- (2) Hae-Chan Park. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner recommended an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 4. In claim 1 the examiner recommended removing "or acute angle" to overcome prior art. Applicant's representative requested a written action .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the examiner believes –a—should be inserted before “surface” in line 4 of the claim, --an—should be inserted before “equilateral” and –an—should be insert before “acute” in line 7. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the examiner believes –are—should be inserted before “located” in line 2 of the claim

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the projections" in line 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner believes these should be changed to –X-shaped projections--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, and 4-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,407,791).

Suzuki et al. discloses a liquid crystal display panel comprising:

An insulating substrate (11); and

a pixel electrode (71) formed on the substrate, the pixel electrode having pluralities of openings (see Figure 2 and Figure 3) and X-shaped projections protruding from a surface of the pixel electrode (see Figure 2),

wherein the openings are disposed between the projections and an area enclosed by the X-shaped projections, the openings, and boundary of the pixel electrode has a planar shape of an equilateral trapezoid or an acute angle (see Figure 2).

Further Suzuki et al. discloses wherein a plurality of X-shaped members (72) located under the respective projections, and an insulating layer (61, 63) between the projections and the X-shaped members, thereby causing the projections to protrude, wherein the insulating layer has a double-layered structure (61, 63), wherein the pixel electrode except for the projections is in direct contact (direct electrical contact) with the substrate (see Figure 2 and 3), wherein the X-shaped members are made of metal (column 10, lines 55-65) , wherein at least two of the X-shaped members are connected

to each other (see column 4, lines 13-47 and column 10, lines 25-32), and further comprising an image signal line transmitting image signals to the pixel electrode (71), and a scanning signal line (55) transmitting scanning signals and a transistor (54) connected to the scanning signal line (55), the image signal line (56) and the pixel electrode (71) to transmit the image signals from the image signal line (56) to the pixel electrode (71) responsive to the scanning signals from the scanning signal line.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not suggest singly or in combination the LCD device wherein the long side of the equilateral trapezoid is convex or curved in combination with the other claim limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 308-6171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2812

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724
for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or
proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-
0956.

jmw

jmw

April 18, 2003



John F. Niebling
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800